Subject No. 252.

Power to Inamdars to recover kandayam from Inferior holders.

Mr. Madappa of Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—At present, much inconvenience is felt by Inamdars to recover kandayam from inferior holders by resorting to Civil Courts. It is requested that these Inamdars may at least be shown some concession to issue notices to attach and destrain property and then to apply to the Deputy Commissioner for the sale of such property by auction for the realisation of the said revenue.

Reply of Government.—Section 99 of the Land Revenue Code provides for the grant of this power.

Subject No. 253.

Enfranchisement of service Inam lands.

Mr. D. S. Hanumantha Rao.

Summary of discussion.—Though Shanbhogi and Pateli Inam lands are fully assessed, they are classed as Inams without being enfranchised. Such Inams are being enjoyed both by the officiators and hakdars. When the hakdars fail to pay the assessment due by them, the Inam lands being unenfranchised cannot well be proceeded against. To avoid such difficulties, such Inams are enfranchised in His Majesty's Territory and the potgi fixed according to the prescribed scale. It is therefore suggested that the service Inam lands may be enfranchised and charged, as in His Majesty's Territory, with half quit-rent and the potgi paid to the officiators.

Reply of Government.—Nothing is wanted to be done in this matter.

Subject No. 254.

Khalsat on Nadig and Brahmadaya inams.

Mr. Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.
„ Madappa of Mysore Taluk.
„ Singlachar of Kunigal Taluk,
„ M. Venkatakrishnaiya.

Summary of discussion.—It is requested that the khalsat imposed on Nadig and Brahmadaya Inams may be remitted as in the case of Devadaya Inam lands.

Reply of Government.—This cannot be done. The matter has already been disposed of.

Subject No. 255.

Use of three-pie papers.

Mr. K. Krishnamurti Rao of Magadi.

Summary of discussion.—The use of three-pie papers for Revenue petitions is enforced. This is a great hardship on the poor raiyats. Pray that such papers may be ordered to be used for only Civil and Criminal Courts.

The member said that in Magadi, Nelamangala and other taluks of the Bangalore District the use of this paper was insisted upon.

Reply of Government.—There does not appear to be any definite rule requiring that petitions in the Revenue Department should be engrossed on such papers. An enquiry will be made.

Subject No. 256.

Copying fees for Revenue records.

Mr. Lingegowda of the Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—In the case of copies of Revenue records the levy of additional fees for excess number of words recently introduced may be abolished and the old rules may be enforced.

Reply of Government.—There has been no alteration of rules of late. Will be enquired into.

Subject No. 257.

Speedy disposal of Revenue papers.

Mr. Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Revenue papers are allowed to remain undisposed for a very long time, *i.e.*, upwards of 10 or 15 years. By this delay, people in general suffer

very much. It is therefore requested that in view to avoid delay, some rules limiting the time for the disposal of papers by Revenue officers be framed and enforced.

Reply of Government.—Steps are taken in all offices to dispose of papers promptly. If any instances of undue delay are brought to notice, they will be enquired into.

FOREST.

Subject No. 258.

Growth of sandal plants.

(a) Messrs. M. Venkatakrishnaiya, M. C. Ranga Iyengar, Madappa, Anantarajaiya of the Mysore City Municipality.
(b) Mr. Graham Anderson.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) It is requested that the holders of lands may be so encouraged as not to prevent the growth of sandal plants on their holdings.

(*b*) Government should encourage sandal tree growing on private holdings by making the holders of the land realize a portion of the sale proceeds when the trees become mature.

Messrs. Madappa and Anantarajaiya were in favour of creating an interest to the owners of lands for conserving the sandal trees.

Mr. Anderson read the * following note.

* (Appendix D. 4.)

Reply of Government—At present the idea is not to create any such interest. It was once tried by Sir Richard Meade and given up. The representation will again be given very careful consideration.

Subject No. 259.

Occupants of lands to be allowed a share of the Revenue from sandal and teak trees.

Mr. Venkatasamaiya, Taluk member, Holalkere.
„ C. N. Krishnappa, Taluk member, Hirivur.
„ Byregowda of Hoskote.
„ Bhaskara Rao.
„ Keladi Venkappa.
„ Palyada Ramaswami Setti of Arkalgud Taluk.
„ Kodandapani Setti.
„ Lingegowda.
„ Madappa of Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Referring to the reply of Government to Subject No. 100 of 1903, about the responsibility of the occupants of lands for the preservation of the sandal trees standing in their lands, it is proposed that the occupants should be paid half the amount of the income derived by Government from such trees for the care and attention the occupants are to bestow upon them.

Mr. C. N. Krishnappa's proposal is that the raiyats who are anxious to plant and conserve sandal and teak trees may be encouraged by allowing them a share in the revenue derived from those trees. Mr. Y. Chennabasappa proposes that the occupants of lands should be excused from all responsibility for the preservation of the trees.

Reply of Government.—*Vide* subject No. 258.

Subject No. 260.

Right of Inamdars and occupants to sandal trees standing in their lands.

Mr. Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Sandal trees standing in occupied and Inam lands have been reserved to Government. It is prayed that they may be given away to holders of those lands as in force in the Bombay Presidency.

Reply of Government.—This cannot be done.

Subject No. 261.

Free grant for agricultural purposes of wood unreserved under the old classification.

Mr. Patel Basappa, Shimoga Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The old classification of trees into reserved and unreserved may be restored and the raiyats may be allowed to use Thadasalu, Dindiga, Bilwara, Jambe, Kadavalu, Beete and Honne trees for agricultural purposes without paying any seigniorage as the wood now allowed under the new rules is not suited for the purpose.

Reply of Government.—The list of classified trees has already been reduced as far as possible. No further concession appears possible.